

The specification of which

## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

NON-FAULT TOLERANT NETWORK NODES IN A MULTIPLE FAULT TOLERANT NETWORK

The specification of W			
(check X is at	tached hereto		
		as	
,	on Serial No		
	mended on		
	(if app	licable)	
I hereby state including the claims, a	that I have reviewed and ur as amended by any amendmen	nderstand the contents of the about referred to above.	pove-identified specification,
	ge the duty to disclose infence with Title 37, Code of Fe	formation which is material toderal Regulations, §1.56(a).	to the examination of this
application(s) for pate	ent or inventor's certificate	under Title 35, United States listed below and have also id ring a filing date before that c	lentified below any foreign
Prior Foreign Applicat	ion(s)		PriorityClaimed
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No
listed below and, insof prior United States app §112, I acknowledge	far as the subject matter of ear plication in the manner proventhe duty to disclose material which occurred between the far	United States Code §120 of any ach of the claims of this applic ided by the first paragraph of lial information as defined in filing date of the prior applicati	ration is not disclosed in the Fitle 35, United States Code Title 37, Code of Federal
international filing dat	e or this application:		
		te) (Status)	(patented, pending, abandoned)

Address all correspondence to IAN D. MACKINNON at Customer Number 000128.

at telephone number (612) 951-0612.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor	JIANDONG HUANG		
Inventor's Signature		Date	, 19
Residence			. —
Citizenship			
Post Office Address			
	Plymouth, Minnesota 55446		
Full Name of Second Joint Inventor, If Any	SEJUN SONG		
Inventor's Signature		Date	, 19
Residence	Minneapolis, Minnesota (Hennepin County	)	
Citizenship	Republic of Korea		
Post Office Address	1026 27 <sup>th</sup> Avenue S.E. Apt. F		
	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414		
Full Name of Third Joint Inventor, If Any	TONY JOHN KOZLIK		
Inventor's Signature		Date	, 19
Residence	Phoenix, Arizona (Maricopa County)		
Citizenship	USA		
Post Office Address	2936 W. Morrow Drive		
	Phoenix, Arizona 85027		
Full Name of Fourth Joint Inventor, If Any	RONALD J. FREIMARK		
Inventor's Signature		Date	, 19
Residence	Scottsdale, Arizona (Maricopa County)	. <u></u>	
Citizenship	USA		
Post Office Address	13014 N. 62 <sup>nd</sup> Street		
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254		
Full Name of Fifth Joint Inventor, If Any	JAY W. GUSTIN		
Inventor's Signature		Date	, 19
Residence	Scottsdale, Arizona (Maricopa County)	·	
Citizenship	USA		
Post Office Address	6226 E. Joan De Arc Avenue		
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254		

## \*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.